

Subpart H—Federal Application of Remedial Action to State Agencies

AUTHORITY: Wagner-Peyser Act of 1933, as amended, 29 U.S.C. 49 *et seq.*; 5 U.S.C. 301 *et seq.*

§ 658.700 Scope and purpose of subpart.

This subpart sets forth the procedures which ETA shall follow upon either discovering independently or receiving from other(s) information indicating that State agencies may not be adhering to JS regulations.

§ 658.701 Statements of policy.

(a) It is the policy of the Employment and Training Administration (ETA) to take all necessary action, including the imposition of the full range of sanctions set forth in this subpart, to ensure that State agencies comply with all requirements established by JS regulations.

(b) It is the policy of ETA to initiate decertification procedures against State agencies in instances of serious or continual violations of JS regulations if less stringent remedial actions taken in accordance with this subpart fail to resolve noncompliance.

(c) It is the policy of the ETA to act on information concerning alleged violations by State agencies of the JS regulations received from any person or organization.

§ 658.702 Initial action by the Regional Administrator.

(a) The ETA Regional Administrator shall be responsible for ensuring that all State agencies in his/her region are in compliance with JS regulations.

(b) Wherever a Regional Administrator discovers or is apprised of possible State agency violations of JS regulations by the review and assessment activities under subpart G of this part, or through required reports or written complaints from individuals, organizations or employers which are elevated to ETA after the exhaustion of State agency administrative remedies, the Regional Administrator shall conduct an investigation. Within 10 days after receipt of the report or other information, the Regional Administrator shall

make a determination whether there is probable cause to believe that a State agency has violated JS regulations.

(c) The Regional Administrator shall accept complaints regarding possible State agency violations of JS regulations from employee organizations, employers or other groups, without exhaustion of the complaint process described at subpart E, if the Regional Administrator determines that the nature and scope of the complaint are such that the time required to exhaust the administrative procedures at the State level would adversely affect a significant number of applicants. In such cases, the Regional Administrator shall investigate the matter within 10 working days, may provide the State agency 10 working days for comment, and shall make a determination within an additional 10 working days whether there is probable cause to believe that the State agency has violated JS regulations.

(d) If the Regional Administrator determines that there is no probable cause to believe that a State agency has violated JS regulations, he/she shall retain all reports and supporting information in ETA files. In all cases where the Regional Administrator has insufficient information to make a probable cause determination, he/she shall so notify the Administrator in writing and the time for the investigation shall be extended 20 additional working days.

(e) If the Regional Administrator determines that there is probable cause to believe that a State agency has violated JS regulations, he/she shall issue a Notice of Initial Findings of Non-compliance by registered mail to the offending State agency. The Notice will specify the nature of the violation, cite the regulations involved, and indicate corrective action which may be imposed in accordance with paragraphs (g) and (h) of this section. If the non-compliance involves services to MSFWs or the JS complaint system, a copy of said notice shall be sent to the National MSFW Monitor Advocate.

(f)(1) The State agency shall have 20 working days to comment on the findings, or a longer period, up to 20 additional days, if the Regional Administrator determines that such a longer